

The Intelligencer.

OFFICE: No. 15 Quay Street.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1872.

National Union Republican Ticket.

FOR PRESIDENT,

ULYSSES S. GRANT.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,

HENRY WILSON, of Massachusetts.

For Presidential Electors.

AT LARGE,

WM. E. STEVENSON, of Wood.

THOMAS B. SWANN, of Kanawha.

DISTRICTS,

1st—CHARLES F. SCOTT, of Ritchie.

2d—THOMAS R. CARSKADON, of Mineral.

3d—ROMEO H. FREER, of Kanawha.

Gen. Grant never has been defeated, and he never will be.—HORACE GREELY.

While asserting the right of every Republican to his untrammelled choice of a candidate for president, we do not believe in a nomination made, in advance, by a caucus of the party, but we believe in a free election, in which every citizen shall be free to vote for the man he deems best qualified for the position.

Speech on 20th January, 1871.

Scrutinize Your Tickets.

It has been ascertained that the GREELEY

LETTERS which manage the machinery in

this city have tickets printed bearing the

names of "GRANT and WILSON" at the

head, but the names of GREELY and

BROWN. Republicans are cautioned

to examine their tickets closely and

see the Republican electors are all on

the following is a fac simile of the Republican

ticket. Note that the electors are

STEVENSON, SWAN, SCOTT, CARSKADON

and FREER. Whoever votes a ticket like

this, has a sure thing:

NATIONAL UNION

Republican Ticket.

FOR PRESIDENT,

Ulysses S. Grant

OF ILLINOIS.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT,

Henry Wilson,

OF MASSACHUSETTS.

For Presidential Electors.

AT LARGE,

WM. E. STEVENSON,

OF WOOD COUNTY.

THOMAS B. SWANN,

OF KANAWHA COUNTY.

DISTRICTS,

1st—CHARLES F. SCOTT,

OF RITCHIE COUNTY.

2d—THOS. R. CARSKADON,

OF MINERAL COUNTY.

3d—ROMEO H. FREER,

OF KANAWHA COUNTY.

Those Democrats who propose to vote

for O'CONNOR & ADAMS should also be

careful that they are not cheated into

voting for the GREELY electors. The

following are the O'CONNOR & ADAMS

electors, and Democrats who want to vote

that ticket should be sure that all these

names and none others are on their tickets:

COL. THOS. O'BRIEN, of Ohio.

ALEXANDER WHITE, of Hardy.

ERNEST A. DUNGAN, of Harrison.

WM. T. LICK, of Barbour.

CAPT. JOHN S. SWAN, of Kanawha.

A Fraudulent Ticket!

At half past twelve this

morning a Greeley fraudulent

ticket was placed in

our hands, which is printed

as nearly like the Republican

ticket as the resources

of the Greeley printing

office could supply, and

which while headed with

the names of Grant and

Wilson has on it the names

of the Greeley and Brown

electors, viz: Sprigg, John-

son, Wm. P. Hubbard, Lu-

cas, and Sehon. All such

tickets if voted count for

Greeley.

The Charleston Courier reports that at

the Congressional election on the 24th

ult, in Kanawha county, 1,311 votes were

cast, whereof HENKFOED received 770,

SWAN 420, and O. W. SMITH 12; and that

in Fayette the whole vote was but 138,

of which SWAN got 70 and HENKFOED 68.

REPUBLICANS! see that the names of

STEVENSON, SWAN, SCOTT, CARSKADON

and FREER are on your tickets. All

others purporting to be Republican are

fraudulent.

The Cumberland News learns that the

Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company will

commence at an early day the erection of

a hotel, to be used as a summer resort, at

Oakland. It is expected that it will be

ready for use next summer, but, if not,

certainly for the season following.

THE MEXICAN MAN.—The Volcano

Lubricator reports that the gift-mice boxes

of the Emanuel Church, at that place,

were robbed last week by some depraved

wretch, who takes rank one degree

above the fellow that stole the food from

a blind pig.

REPUBLICANS! be on your guard for

fraudulent tickets.

Look Out for Fraud!

Beware of Fraudulent Tickets. See

that the names of the Electors, STEVEN-

SON, SWANN, SCOTT, CARSKADON and

FREER are on your ticket.

The New York Woman—A Word in

Extenuation.

The following from Dr. Holland's

“Topics of the Time,” in the October num-

ber comes to us through the post office,

with a request in a lady's hand for pub-

lication. We cheerfully comply.

“What kind of a being is the typical

New York Woman? Our neighbors

across the water evidently regard her as

something very different from the typical

Englishwoman, and they from their judg-

ments not so much by what they know

of the New York Woman at home, as by

what they see of her abroad. They find

her extravagant in her tastes, something

more than self-assured in her bearing,

“loud” in her dress, and superficial in her

education and accomplishments—if she

has any. Now we do not admit that a

woman who can be thus characterized is

the type of New York womanhood. The

world does not hold better women, or

better educated women, or better man-

naged women, than are to be found in

great numbers in this much defamed city;

but the Englishman does not see them, for

they jealously guard their society when he

comes here, and when they travel they

are unobtrusive and do not attract his

attention. The average traveling Eng-

lishman in New York knows just as little

of the best society of New York as the

average traveling American does of the

best society of London.

Yet the Englishman has an apology in

what he sees, and, perhaps, in all that he

sees, for the severity of his judgment.

There is a type of womanhood in New

York—and it is the type of womanhood

represented—of which every Ameri-

can, everywhere, has reason to be ashamed.

The same type can be found in all

the large cities of the country, but it

exists in its perfection here. It lives in

the houses and boarding houses; it travels;

it haunts the fashionable watering places;

it is prominent at the opera and the ball;

in short, it is where it should show itself

and its clothes. It rejoices over a notice

of itself in the *Kentucky Chatterbox*, or the*Weekly Milk and Water*, as among the

proudest and most grateful of its social

achievements. Its grand first question is:

“Where will I be clothed?” and

when that is answered as well as it can

be, the next is: “How and where can I

show my clothes so as to attract the most

attention, the greatest number of men,

and make the most stunning social

sensation?” We have no fear of exag-

gerating in this characterization. We

have seen some these women at home

and away; and their presumption, bold-

ness, vanity, idleness, display, and lack of

all noble and womanly aims are a disgrace

to the city which produces them, and the

country after whose name they call them-

selves.

Of course there is a sufficient cause for

the production of this type of women, and

it is to be found in her circumstances and

way of life. It is prevalent among the

nouveau riches—among those of humble

beginnings and insufficient breeding and

education. It is fostered in boarding

houses and hotels—those hotbeds of jeal-

ousy and personal and social rivalry and

sinister idleness. The woman who has

herself housed and clothed and fed and

petted and furnished with money for arti-

ficial as well as real wants, without the

lifting of a finger, or the burden of a care,

and without the culture of head or heart

that leads her to seek for the higher satis-

factions of womanhood, becomes in the

most natural way precisely what we have

described. It would be unnatural for her

to become anything else. The simple

truth is, that unless women have a routine

of duty that diverts their thoughts from

themselves, and gives them something to

think of besides dress and the exhibition

of their degenerate. The only cure for

this is to know of it, universal house-

keeping. There is no man who can afford

to pay a fair price for board, who can-

not afford to keep house, and house-

keeping, though it be never so humble, is

the most natural and the healthiest office

to which woman is ever called. There is

no one thing that would do so much to

elevate the type of New York woman-

hood as a universal succession from board-

ing houses and hotel life, and a universal

entrance upon separate homes. Such a

step would increase the stock of happi-

ness, improve health of body and health

of mind, and raise at once the standard

of morals and manners.

The devil always finds work for idle

hands to do, whether the hands belong to

men or women; but American men are

not apt to be idle. They are absorbed in

work from early until late, and leave their

idle wives cooped up in rooms that cost

them no care, to get rid of the lingering

time as they can. It is kind to do this, or

is it cruel? If it is kind in its motives, it

is cruel in its results. The whole system

of boarding house and hotel life is vicious.

To live in public, to be on dress parade

every day, to be always passing in review

before a gossipping multitude, to live simi-

larly year after year, with thoughts con-

centrated upon one's person and one's selfish

delights, to be perpetually without a rou-

tine of healthy duty, is to take the broad-

est and briefest road to the degradation of

all that is admirable and lovable in wo-

manhood. It is to make, by the most

natural process, that gray, gaudy, loud,

trifling, pretentious, vain, intriguing,

unsatisfied and unhappy creature which

the Englishman knows as “the New

York Woman.”

REPUBLICANS! see that good and

reliable men are at the polls to-day, and

guard well your tickets.

Advertising for Correspondence.

A young man in our city has been

engaged for the past six weeks in writing

to a fair young damsel of Brooklyn. He

formed her acquaintance through the col-

umns of then—*newspaper*, and last evening

according to engagement, went over to

New York, in Canal street, where he was

to meet his bride. He was in the pre-

sence of the house, and was in the pres-

ence of a young lady of about nineteen

years, who had the appearance of just

concocted after ten years' drink. He was

soon told that she was the lady in

question, and asked “what he proposed to

do about it?” She seemed in a great hur-

ry to much for her Jersey boy, who told

her that he had no proposal to make ex-

cept to have the door opened for a con-

tinued to the exit of his person. The *demi-**monde*, for so she was, flew into a terrible

rage, and gave her visitor to understand

that he could not occupy her time and

make engagements with her, and not re-

turn her for all her trouble, and told the

frightened youth to “shell out or prepare

for a tanning.” He prepared to “shell

out” rather than have a “tanning” with such

a muscular female, and gave her the con-

tents of his pocket-book, with the excep-

tion of three cents to cross the ferry, which

amounted to a little over eleven dollars.

He told her reporter that he was satisfied

with getting off at that price, and that he

would have given twice that amount

for a tanning, had he been offered with her.

He has solemnly vowed never to read the

newspaper correspond with an un-

known person again. He has no particu-

lar affection for Brooklyn ladies now.—

Jersey City Journal.

The Gothic style (so-called) of jewelry

is much in vogue. It consists of trans-

parent mounting of various objects set in

gold filigree work.

The Vote in Ritchie County at Col.

Wilson's Little Election.

To the Editors of the Intelligencer:

The Register, as you know, has earned

the well-deserved reputation of being the

most reliable (I judge) in the State. It

seems that a certain individual who ven-

tured in this county takes a great inter-

est in the Register, and occasionally adds

his mite to increase its reliability, and add

to its reputation as a disseminator of per-

fectly fresh and original news items. This

gentleman is, of course, one of “undoubt-

edly” veracious,” and also considers him-

self “somewhat” to the extent of “disgrac-